	Case 2:10-cv-00907-RAJ Docu	ment 17	Filed 07/09/10	Page 1 of 2
1			HONORABLE I	RICHARD A. JONES
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
8				
9	PREFERRED NUTRITION INC., et al.,			
10	Plaintiffs,			
11	V.	CASE	NO. C10-907RA	J
12	LORNA VANDERHAEGHE, et al.,	ORDE	R	
13	Defendants.			
14				
15	There are four motions pending in this matter. Defendants contend that the court			
16	lacks personal jurisdiction over them, and have filed a motion to dismiss. Dkt. # 9.			
17	Plaintiffs responded with a motion (Dkt. # 12) for expedited discovery to assist with their			
18	response to the personal jurisdiction motion. At the same time, Plaintiffs filed a motion			
19	(Dkt. # 13) for a preliminary injunction and a motion (Dkt. # 16) for expedited discovery			
20	in connection with that motion.			
21	No party has yet responded to any of these motions.			
22	This order addresses Plaintiffs' motions for expedited discovery. Those motions			
23	do not merely request that the court permit discovery to begin immediately, but also			
24	would require Defendants to respond to the discovery on an expedited basis. Although			
25	the court finds good cause to permit discovery to begin immediately, it finds little reason			

court finds go ood cause to per nit discovery to begi nediate ids little reaso y, to require expedited discovery responses from Defendants. In opposing Defendants' motion to dismiss, Plaintiffs need only point out with specificity how additional ORDER – 1

discovery would strengthen their opposition. The court will not grant the motion to dismiss if Plaintiffs demonstrate that additional discovery is likely to reveal that the court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants. As to Plaintiffs' preliminary injunction motion, expedited discovery is manifestly not appropriate. If Plaintiffs lacked sufficient evidence to obtain a preliminary injunction, they should not have filed their motion until they had obtained such discovery.

The court orders as follows:

- Discovery shall commence immediately. The timing of any discovery responses shall be in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, unless the parties agree otherwise.
- The clerk shall RENOTE Defendants' motion to dismiss (Dkt. # 9) for July 30, 2010, to correspond with the noting date of Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction.
- The clerk shall TERMINATE Plaintiffs' motions for expedited discovery. Dkt. ## 12, 16.

DATED this 9th day of July, 2010.

Richard A Jone

The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Judge

ORDER – 2